


Controlling Both Ground- and Excited-State Thermal Barriers to Bergman Cyclization with Alkyne Termini Substitution

Mahendra Nath, Maren Pink, and Jeffrey M. Zaleski

J. Am. Chem. Soc., **2005**, 127 (2), 478-479 • DOI: 10.1021/ja045979t • Publication Date (Web): 17 December 2004

Downloaded from <http://pubs.acs.org> on March 24, 2009



More About This Article

Additional resources and features associated with this article are available within the HTML version:

- Supporting Information
- Links to the 3 articles that cite this article, as of the time of this article download
- Access to high resolution figures
- Links to articles and content related to this article
- Copyright permission to reproduce figures and/or text from this article

[View the Full Text HTML](#)



Controlling Both Ground- and Excited-State Thermal Barriers to Bergman Cyclization with Alkyne Termini Substitution

Mahendra Nath, Maren Pink, and Jeffrey M. Zaleski*

Department of Chemistry, Indiana University, Bloomington, Indiana 47405


Received July 6, 2004; E-mail: Zaleski@indiana.edu

Porphyryns are ubiquitous molecular constructs because of their potential in biomedical applications such as photodynamic therapy^{1,2} and the ability to incorporate their electronic properties into optical devices.^{3,4} In line with this trend, coupling reactive functionalities into strong porphyrinic chromophores has potential for the development of novel biomedical reagents that can be triggered optically at long wavelengths, without the need for external chemical cofactors.

Attempts to photochemically cyclize simple enediynes^{5–9} have been restricted to UV excitation, partially because of the lack of a suitable chromophore with extinction in the visible spectral region. In addition, traditional acyclic enediynes are generally more difficult to activate than their carbocyclic¹⁰ or metallocyclic^{10,11} counterparts, exhibiting restrictively high activation barriers. This work focuses on modulating thermal and photochemical activation barriers of porphyrinic enediynes.

The cross-coupling reaction of 2,3-dibromo-5,10,15,20-tetraphenylporphyrin with the corresponding organostannanes in the presence of Pd⁰ catalyst in THF at reflux temperature yields free base 2,3-dialkynylporphyrins **1a,c–e** (Scheme 1).^{12–14} The subsequent deprotection of trimethylsilyl group of **1a** with TBAF in THF under aqueous conditions produces the 2,3-diethynyl-5,10,15,20-tetraphenylporphyrin **1b** in 87% yield.¹³ Compounds **1a–d** undergo zinc insertion upon treatment with Zn(OAc)₂·2H₂O in CHCl₃/MeOH to give zinc(II) 2,3-dialkynyl-5,10,15,20-tetraphenylporphyrins (**2a–d**) in 70–92% yields (Scheme 1).

Scheme 1. Dialkynylporphyrinic Enediynes and Their Bergman Cyclization Reactivities



The crystal structure of **1c** (Figure 1) reveals a near-planar porphyrin backbone with an alkyne termini distance (C24···C24A) of 3.658 Å. To assess the thermal reactivities of **1a–e** and **2a–d**, thermal Bergman cyclization temperatures have been evaluated in solid state by differential scanning calorimetry (DSC). Single exotherms are observed for each compound over a wide range of temperatures (**1b**: 160 °C; **1a**: 388 °C), indicating a substantial difference in the activation barriers to Bergman cyclization within the series. For R = H and R = Ph, sharp exotherms are observed ~100 °C apart (**1c**: 257 °C; **2b**: 172 °C; **2c**: 272 °C), revealing a dependence of cyclization reactivity on the steric bulk of the R-group. Thermal Bergman cyclization of **1a–e** and **2a–d** was also studied in chlorobenzene and ~35-fold 1,4-cyclohexadiene at




Figure 1. X-ray structure of **1c** and **4b** (from photoreaction of **2b**). Thermal ellipsoids are illustrated at 50% probability.

Table 1. Thermal Bergman Cycloaromatization of **1a–e** and **2a–d**

compounds	reaction conditions	1 ^a or 2 ^a (%)	3 ^b or 4 ^b (%)
1a : R = TMS	C ₆ H ₅ Cl, CHD, 190 °C, 24 h	95	–
1b : R = H	C ₆ H ₆ , <i>i</i> PrOH, 25 °C, 72 h	98	–
1b : R = H	C ₆ H ₅ Cl, CHD, 120 °C, 8 h	–	65
1c : R = Ph	C ₆ H ₅ Cl, CHD, 200 °C, 30 h	30	50
1d : R = Pr	C ₆ H ₅ Cl, CHD, 160 °C, 24 h	–	55
1e : R = <i>i</i> Pr	C ₆ H ₅ Cl, CHD, 190 °C, 24 h	10	60
2a : R = TMS	C ₆ H ₅ Cl, CHD, 190 °C, 24 h	96	–
2b : R = H	C ₆ H ₅ Cl, CHD, 120 °C, 8 h	–	70
2b : R = H	C ₆ H ₆ , <i>i</i> PrOH, 50 °C, 72 h	92	6
2b : R = H	C ₆ H ₆ , <i>i</i> PrOH, 25 °C, 72 h	96	–
2c : R = Ph	C ₆ H ₅ Cl, CHD, 210 °C, 30 h	60	30
2d : R = Pr	C ₆ H ₅ Cl, CHD, 160 °C, 24 h	40	45

^a Recovered starting compounds **1a–e** or **2a–d**. ^b Isolated yields of cyclized products **3b–e** or **4b–d**.

120–210 °C (Table 1). Compounds **1b** and **2b** react at lower temperature (120 °C) and produce cyclized products **3b** and **4b** in higher yields (65–70%) than their propyl, isopropyl, and phenyl analogues, with R = Ph being the most stable. Continuing in this trend, the –TMS derivatives **1a** and **2a** exhibit no reactivity even after heating at 190 °C in chlorobenzene/CHD for 24 h.

The conjugated porphyrin–enediynes framework is ideal for determining whether electronic excitation of the chromophore is capable of activating a relatively stable, acyclic enediynes unit. Photolysis (at λ ≥ 395 nm) of **1b** and **2b** at 10 °C leads to the formation of isolable piceoporphyrin products in 15 and 35% yields, respectively, in 72 h, whereas these compounds are stable under identical conditions in the dark at 25 °C (Table 2).

The crystal structure of photoproduct **4b** (Figure 1) displays a mixed ruffle/saddle distorted porphyrin fused to a planar piceeno unit.^{12–14} Remarkably, the formation of Bergman cyclized product **4b** from acyclic enediynes **2b** is not restricted to high-energy photoexcitation. Rather, photolysis of **2b** at 10 °C at longer

Table 2. Photo-Bergman Cycloaromatization of **1** and **2^a**


compounds	reaction conditions	1b ^b or 2b ^b (%)	3b ^c or 4b ^c (%)
1b: R = H	C ₆ H ₆ , <i>i</i> PrOH, 10 °C, <i>hν</i> (λ ≥ 395 nm), 72 h	45	15
2b: R = H	C ₆ H ₆ , <i>i</i> PrOH, 10 °C, <i>hν</i> (λ ≥ 395 nm), 72 h	35	35
2b: R = H	C ₆ H ₆ , <i>i</i> PrOH, 10 °C, <i>hν</i> (λ ≥ 515 nm), 72 h	65	15
2b: R = H	C ₆ H ₆ , <i>i</i> PrOH, 10 °C, <i>hν</i> (λ ≥ 590 nm), 120 h	85	6

^a Photo-Bergman cyclization of **1a,c–e**, **2a,c,d** (entries 1, 4–7, 11, and 12 in Table 1) was attempted in benzene/*i*PrOH at 10 °C with λ ≥ 395 nm light for 72 h, but starting material was recovered quantitatively. ^b Recovered starting material. ^c Isolated yields of cyclized product.

wavelengths (λ ≥ 515 or 590 nm) in benzene/*i*PrOH (4:1, 72 h) produces **4b** in 15 and 6% isolated yields, respectively. Photolyses of **1a,c–e** and **2a,c,d** were also performed under the same reaction conditions, but in all cases, starting material was recovered in quantitative yield.

To probe whether the activation barriers to Bergman cyclization in the excited state follow the same trend as those in the ground state, photolyses of **1c** and **2c** were performed at elevated temperature (125 °C). Unlike thermolysis at 125 °C, which did not yield Bergman cyclized product for R = Ph, photolysis generates small amounts of picenoporphyrin (**3c**: 5%; **4c**: 8% based on ¹H NMR) as well as a mixture of reduced porphyrin products that were not separable. While some photoproduct was formed at elevated temperature, compounds **1c** and **2c** with R = Ph are still considerably more stable than **1b** and **2b** with R = H, which leads to photoproduct in up to 35% yield at 10 °C. Thus, trends in the barriers to the Bergman cyclization step in the excited state have similar relationships to those in the ground state (from DSC and product isolation) as a function of the R-group.

The picture that emerges from this work is shown in Scheme 2.

Scheme 2. Reaction Profile for Ground- and Excited-State Bergman Cyclization of **1b–c** and **2b–c**

Thermolysis along the ground-state potential surface leads to generation of the typical 1,4-phenyl diradical intermediate presumed to be singlet in origin.¹⁵ In the presence of H-donor, a subsequent activated process leads to production of picenoporphyrin by radical addition to the adjacent *meso*-phenyl rings and subsequent rearomatization by loss of H₂.^{12–14} The latter step is rate limiting for R

= H,¹³ but the high temperature of the R = Ph cyclization reaction¹² suggests that it is not for R = Ph. The pronounced dependence of the reaction on R reveals that the barrier to the 1,4-diradical intermediate is smaller for R = H than that for R = Ph ($\Delta H_{R=H}^\ddagger < \Delta H_{R=Ph}^\ddagger$) and is therefore controlled at least in part by the steric bulk of the R-group at the alkyne termini. This is supported by computational studies that suggest a ~15 kcal/mol difference in ΔG^\ddagger for such a substitution.¹⁶ Similarly, photoexcitation into the Soret and Q-bands populates the ¹ππ* manifold, ultimately leading to formation of Bergman product in respectable yields for R = H (35% at 10 °C) and in trace amounts for R = Ph at 125 °C, once again reflecting differential activation barriers as a function of R-group ($[\Delta H_{R=H}^\ddagger]^* < [\Delta H_{R=Ph}^\ddagger]^*$). In this case, cyclization can occur along either the excited singlet or triplet surface via an excited diradical species,^{8,17,18} the subsequent intermediates derived from which remain unclear. It is important to highlight that $[\Delta H_{R=H}^\ddagger]^* < \Delta H_{R=H}^\ddagger$ and $[\Delta H_{R=Ph}^\ddagger]^* < \Delta H_{R=Ph}^\ddagger$, which reveals that in addition to having the same energetic relationships in the ground and excited states, the thermal barriers in the excited states are lower than those in the ground states overall. Finally, conjugation of the enediyne unit into the porphyrin electronic transitions leads to sufficient distortion to not only generate photoproduct with λ ≥ 395 nm (Soret) excitation, but with longer wavelength, Q-band excitation (λ ≥ 515, 590 nm) as well. This suggests that it may be possible to extend photoelectronic activation of enediynes well out into the visible spectral region, enhancing the potential for such frameworks as photodynamic therapy agents in hypoxic environments.

Acknowledgment. The support of the National Institutes of Health (R01 GM62541-01) is gratefully acknowledged.

Supporting Information Available: Experimental details and characterization data for **1c–e**, **2a–d**, **3b–d**, and **4b–d** as well as X-ray crystallographic data for **1c** and **4b** (PDF, CIF, plain text). This material is available free of charge via the Internet at <http://pubs.acs.org>.

References

- (1) See, for example: (a) Detty, M. R.; Gibson, S. L.; Wagner, S. J. *J. Med. Chem.* **2004**, *47*, 3897. (b) Macdonald, I. J.; Dougherty, T. J. *J. Porphyrins Phthalocyanines* **2001**, *5*, 105.
- (2) Bonnett, R. *Chem. Soc. Rev.* **1995**, 19.
- (3) Holten, D.; Bocian, D. F.; Lindsey, J. S. *Acc. Chem. Res.* **2002**, *35*, 57.
- (4) Drain, C. M.; Hupp, J. T.; Suslick, K. S.; Wasielewski, M. R.; Chen, X. *J. Porphyrins Phthalocyanines* **2002**, *6*, 243.
- (5) Funk, R. L.; Young, E. R. R.; Williams, R. M.; Flanagan, M. F.; Cecili, T. L. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **1996**, *118*, 3291.
- (6) Kaneko, T.; Takahashi, M.; Hiram, M. *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.* **1999**, *38*, 1267.
- (7) Turro, N. J.; Evenzahav, A.; Nicolaou, K. C. *Tetrahedron Lett.* **1994**, *35*, 8089.
- (8) Evenzahav, A.; Turro, N. J. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **1998**, *120*, 1835.
- (9) Purohit, A.; Wyatt, J.; Hynd, G.; Wright, J.; El-Shafey, A.; Swamy, N.; Ray, R.; Jones, G. B. *Tetrahedron Lett.* **2001**, *42*, 8579.
- (10) Rawat, D. S.; Zaleski, J. M. *Synlett* **2004**, 393.
- (11) Basak, A.; Mandal, S.; Bag, S. S. *Chem. Rev.* **2003**, *103*, 4077.
- (12) Aihara, H.; Jaquinod, L.; Nurco, D. J.; Smith, K. M. *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.* **2001**, *40*, 3439.
- (13) Nath, M.; Huffman, J. C.; Zaleski, J. M. *Chem. Commun.* **2003**, 858.
- (14) Nath, M.; Huffman, J. C.; Zaleski, J. M. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **2003**, *125*, 11484.
- (15) Cramer, C. J. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **1998**, *120*, 6261.
- (16) Prall, M.; Wittkopp, A.; Fokin, A. A.; Schreiner, P. R. *J. Comput. Chem.* **2001**, *22*, 1605.
- (17) Clark, A. E.; Davidson, E. R.; Zaleski, J. M. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **2001**, *123*, 2650.
- (18) Clark, A. E.; Davidson, E. R. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **2001**, *123*, 10691.

JA045979T